For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	WANXIA LIAO,	No. C 11-02494 JSW
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S
9	v.	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND UNITED STATES'
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	OBJECTIONS THERETO
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	The Court has received Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice and the United States'	
14	objections thereto. Under Local Rule 7-3(d), "[o]nce a reply is filed, no additional memoranda,	
15	papers or letters may be filed without prior Court approval, except" when new evidence is	
16	submitted in a reply brief or when a relevant judicial opinion is published after the opposition or	
17	reply is filed. However, in either situation, no new argument is to be included. Plaintiff's	
18	request for judicial notice does not fall within either exception, and Plaintiff did not seek leave	
19	of court to file that document. The United States' objections also contains additional argument	
20	on the motion and, thus, also fails to comply with Local Rule 7-3(d). However, because	
21	Plaintiff is appearing pro se, the Court shall accept her filing, and shall also consider the United	
22	States' response thereto. The Court, however, shall not consider any further materials	
23	submitted in support or in opposition to the pending motions, unless the party seeking to	
24	file such materials seeks and obtains leave of court to do so.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	_
26	Dated: April 3, 2012	JEFFREYS WHATE
27		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28